WASHINGTON METROPOLITAN AREA TRANSIT COMMISSION

SILVER SPRING, MARYLAND

ORDER NO. 17,761

IN THE MATTER OF:		Served August 9, 2018
WORLD SEDAN, INC., Suspension and)	Case No. MP-2018-030
Investigation of Revocation of)	
Certificate No. 1707)	

This matter is before the Commission on respondent's failure to respond to Order No. 17,691, served June 22, 2018.

I. BACKGROUND

Under the Compact, a WMATC carrier may not engage in transportation subject to the Compact if the carrier's certificate of authority is not "in force." A certificate of authority is not valid unless the holder is in compliance with the Commission's insurance requirements. 2

Commission Regulation No. 58 requires respondent to insure the revenue vehicles operated under Certificate No. 1707 for a minimum of \$1.5 million in combined-single-limit liability coverage and maintain on file with the Commission at all times proof of coverage in the form of a WMATC Certificate of Insurance and Policy Endorsement (WMATC Insurance Endorsement) for each policy comprising the minimum.

Certificate No. 1707 was automatically suspended on March 21, 2018, when the \$1.5 million primary WMATC Insurance Endorsement on file for respondent terminated without replacement. Order No. 17,507, served March 22, 2018, noted the automatic suspension of Certificate No. 1707 pursuant to Regulation No. 58-12, directed respondent to cease transporting passengers for hire under Certificate No. 1707, and gave respondent 30 days to replace the terminated endorsement and pay a \$100 late fee due under Regulation No. 67-03(c) or face revocation of Certificate No. 1707.

Respondent did not respond, and Certificate No. 1707 was revoked in Order No. 17,577 on April 24, 2018, pursuant to Regulation No. 58-15(a). Respondent thereafter submitted the necessary WMATC Insurance Endorsement, paid the late fee, and filed a timely application for reconsideration of Order No. 17,577, and Certificate of Authority No. 1707 was reinstated in Order No. 17,630 on May 22, 2018, in accordance with Regulation No. 58-15(b).

¹ Compact, tit. II, art. XI, § 6(a).

² Compact, tit. II, art. XI, § 7(g).

However, respondent's replacement Endorsement did not take effect until May 17, 2018, instead of March 21, 2018, the termination date of the prior Endorsement, thereby creating a 57-day gap in required coverage. Order No. 17,630 accordingly directed respondent to submit a statement verifying cessation of operations as of March 21, 2018, as required by Regulation No. 58-14(a). The order also directed respondent to produce copies of its business records pertaining to any and all operations under WMATC authority from January 1, 2018, to May 22, 2018. Respondent produced neither a statement nor any business records.

II. ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE

Regulation No. 58-14(b) states that upon the failure of a carrier to comply timely with the requirements of Regulation No. 58-14(a), "the Executive Director shall issue an order directing the carrier to show cause why a civil forfeiture should not be assessed against the carrier and/or why the carrier's operating authority should not be suspended or revoked."

Pursuant to Regulation No. 58-14(b), Order No. 17,691 gave respondent until July 23, 2018, to show cause why the Commission should not assess a civil forfeiture against respondent and/or suspend or revoke Certificate No. 1707. Respondent has yet to respond.

III.ASSESSMENT OF FORFEITURE AND REVOCATION OF AUTHORITY

A person who knowingly and willfully violates a provision of the Compact, or a rule, regulation, requirement, or order issued under it, or a term or condition of a certificate shall be subject to a civil forfeiture of not more than \$1,000\$ for the first violation and not more than \$5,000\$ for any subsequent violation.

The Commission may suspend or revoke all or part of any certificate of authority for willful failure to comply with a provision of the Compact, an order, rule, or regulation of the Commission, or a term, condition, or limitation of the certificate.⁴

The term "knowingly" means with perception of the underlying facts, not that such facts establish a violation. The terms "willful" and "willfully" do not mean with evil purpose or criminal intent; rather, they describe conduct marked by intentional or careless disregard or plain indifference.

Because respondent has failed to comply with Order No. 17,630 and has offered no explanation for this failure, we find that

³ Compact, tit. II, art. XIII, § 6(f).

⁴ Compact, tit. II, art. XI, § 10(c).

 $^{^5}$ In re Primo Exec. Transp. Servs., LLC, No. MP-17-044, Order No. 17,238 (Oct. 4, 2017).

⁶ Id.

respondent has failed to show cause why the Commission should not assess a civil forfeiture of $$250^7$ and revoke Certificate No. 1707.

THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED:

- 1. That pursuant to Article XIII, Section 6(f), of the Compact, the Commission hereby assesses a civil forfeiture against respondent in the amount of \$250 for knowingly and willfully violating Order No. 17,630.
- 2. That pursuant to Article XI, Section 10(c), of the Compact, Certificate of Authority No. 1707 is hereby revoked for respondent's willful failure to comply with Order No. 17,630.
- 3. That within 30 days from the date of this order respondent shall:
 - a. pay to the Commission by check or money order the sum of two hundred fifty dollars (\$250);
 - b. remove from respondent's vehicle(s) the identification
 placed thereon pursuant to Commission Regulation No. 61;
 - c. file a notarized affidavit and supporting photograph(s) with the Commission verifying compliance with the preceding requirement; and
 - d. surrender Certificate No. 1707 to the Commission.

BY DIRECTION OF THE COMMISSION; COMMISSIONERS RICHARD, MAROOTIAN, AND HOLCOMB:

William S. Morrow, Jr.

Executive Director

⁷ See id. (same).

⁸ See id (same)